Assessing the impact of a global health MOOC/OER

Sally Parsley, Astrid Leck, Daksha Patel OE Global, Delft, April 24th 2018





285 million visually impaired people





Eye health human resources challenges





Can MOOC/OER help to address this training gap? (Laurillard, 2014), (Kanwar & Mishra, 2015)



© Claire Walker CC BY-NC SA 2.0

Global Blindness MOOC/OER





WEEK 1: THE BURDEN OF AVOIDABLE BLINDNESS

Introduction

An introduction to the 5 main course objectives and a chance to think about how you learn. There is also an opportunity to introduce yourself and meet fellow learners for the first time. Image © LSHTM



- Essentials of planning and management for eye care services
- Accessible, acceptable and applicable content for a variety of eye care contexts
- OER to enable further local educational transformation
- Involvement of global experts in content development and mentoring

1.1

WELCOME TO THE COURSE AND WEEK 1 VIDEO (02:06)

.2 LEARNING WITH US ARTICLE

1.3 INTRODUCE YOURSELF DISCUSSION

What happened?



	Run 1 (Apr 15)
Joiners ⁴	3,544
Learners ⁴	2,183
Live in LMICs ¹	69%
Work in Health/social care ¹	81%
Completed $\geq 50\%$ of course ³	744 (34%)
Completed ≥90% ³	444 (20%)
Certificates/upgrades sold	143 (7%)
Course rated as: "Excellent/Good" ²	96%



¹ Pre-course survey (n=1,107) ² Post course survey (n=214) ³ Datasets. All % shown are % of learners

Learning experience & applicable knowledge



- "The training was very valuable and cross sharing of vast experiences so enriching." *Kenya*
- "Good teaching materials to disseminate the knowledge to others to adopt" Bangladesh
- "I have learnt that only seeing patients in my clinic will never overcome the burden of blindness." Burundi
- "I can now use planning principles, doing a SWOT Analysis and setting SMART objectives to start planning the delivery and evaluation of outreach programme." *Cameroon*
- "I have learned how to systemetically analyze a complicated problem and address it. I have been involved in an outreach program in *Honduras* for the past 11 years, and I'm convinced that I can use what I've learned to try and make the program more effective."
- "To me, the course played a very vital role as it **empowered me** with planning skills especially for Vision 2020 national and district planning [...] i have already **started using the information on my daily activities** as well as in planning." *Botswana*

So what? Online survey after 1 year 94% working in eye care & 82% living in LMICs (n=139)

- Had participation led to career or educational benefits?
- Had the OER been used to support further teaching and learning?
- What impact had the course have on their practice as health providers, within the constraints of their health system?





88% reported educational benefit



100%

72% reported career benefit



70% reported re-use of materials



100%

Asked colleagues who teach to use the materials

Shared with students, colleagues or eye care team

Adapted to create new teaching resources

Used them to help develop a new course

85% had applied their learning

- 75% had experienced challenges





- "To me it was, a.real refresher course, being one of the first graduates of the MSc course in 1994 and not having an opportunity or time to go for a refresher program. Useful. Need more of this" *Ghana*
- "I loved the forum and the discussions. The quizzes in the middle were a big help. Because of the course I am able to properly plan and structure an ideal eye unit" Kenya
- "We deal mostly with low income group and their issues are almost same as I studied in course. The course motivated me for community eye health care awareness and we started working with schools to give them bases awareness, early eye screening." *Pakistan*





- The course had **widened participation** in public health eye care education by reaching a range of eye health professionals across many countries, especially in LMICs
- Learning was applicable at the local level
- OER content did support further teaching and learning at the local level

Limitations:

- Self-selecting group of respondents
- Unable to link respondents with course data sets
- Questions listed potential pre-identified benefits for individuals

Further activity and 'stories' of impact



- New project with 3 partners
 localising the MOOC/OER
- More case studies from health workers, educators and institutions
- Influencing the LSHTM MSc
 PHEC



MOOC/OER: Constraints and enablers

- Lack of coverage or value creation
- Equity and inclusion considerations (Hodgkinson-Williams & Arinto, 2017)



OER Adoption Pyramid (Trotter & Cox, 2016) © ROER4D project CC BY-4.0





Capturing "the learning enabled by community involvement and networking" (Wenger et al., 2011)

		Cycle 1. Immediate value	Cycle 2. Potential value	Cycle 3. Applied value	Cycle 4. Realized value	Cycle 5. Reframing value
Stakenolders	Health worker or educator	Levels of access, activity, participation, connections & interactions with	Skills acquired Change in perspective Inspiration Confidence Types and intensity of social relationships	Implementation of advice & solutions Reuse of products	Personal performance Organisational performance & reputation Knowledge products as performance	Community aspirations Assessment Relationships with stakeholders Institutional changes New frameworks
	Manager	people/resources Value and quality of above		and tools Use of social connections		
	Planner / policymaker	Meta conversations about the network		Y INEW DIOCESSES OF		
	Sponsor			Transferring learning practices		

Indicators

Global Blindness MOOC/OER VCF: Examples

Cycle 1.	Cycle 2.	Cycle 3.	Cycle 4.	Cycle 5.
Immediate value	Potential value	Applied value	Realized value	Reframing value
Data on access, participation & relevance of interactions (A) "The training was very valuable and cross sharing of vast experiences so enriching." (A) "Access to quality CPD is limited and costly. This method allows study outside of the working day and without cost" (C) Activity during the 3 course localisation projects (D)	"I can now [] start planning the delivery and evaluation of outreach programme" (A) "Good teaching materials to disseminate the knowledge to others to adopt" (A) Intend to pursue my interest in this subject by engaging with my national prevention of blindness committee (B, 55%) "I am able to properly plan and structure an ideal eye unit." (C)	 "Global Blindness course has really help me a lot to run Vision 2020 Program" (C) Shared the OER (C, 47%) "The course helped me to participate well in other futurelearn courses." (C) Outputs of the 3 course localisation projects (D) Using content to teach outreach team (LSHTM as a r (E) Using Quant & for as 	Received recognition for improvement (C, 15%) "Increase in CSR in 3 remote districts in Kenya" (D)	 " am writing a proposal that will enable us do screening of university students before start of next academic year." (C) "started working with schools to give them bases awareness, 'r eye screening" Ioping an OER e (D)

Data sources: (A) Datasets from FL for run 1 (B) GB1 post course survey (C) 1 year later online survey (D) Stories shared by localising partners (E) Other informal stories shared with the LSHTM MOOC/OER team

In summary



- Follow up online surveys can highlight if a MOOC/OER is helping to bridge a known training gap.
- Now developing mixed methods approach to gain further insight into cycles of value creation, + constraints and enablers, for all stakeholders in our global health MOOCs/OER.

	Cycle 1	Cycle 2	Cycle 3	Cycle 4	Cycle 5
Health worker					
Manager					
Planner / policymaker					
Sponsor					
Constraints and enablers (agential, cultural & structural) (Cox & Trotter, 2017)					

Thank-you







THE QUEEN ELIZABETH DIAMOND JUBILEE TRUST





Find out more <u>https://ICEH.lshtm.ac.uk/oer</u>

Contact details @sallyeparsley Sally.Parsley@LSHTM.ac.uk

References



Angell, C., Hartwell, H., & Hemingway, A. (2011). The emergence of public health open educational resources. Health Education, 111(4), 256–265.

Cox, G. & Trotter, H. (2017). Factors shaping lecturers' adoption of OER at three South African universities. In C. Hodgkinson-Williams & P. B. Arinto (Eds.), Adoption and impact of OER in the Global South. Chapter 9. DOI: 10.5281/zenodo.154562

Fellingham, C. (2017). How does my course compare? FutureLearn.

Hodgkinson-Williams, C. & Arinto, P. B. (2017). Adoption and impact of OER in the Global South. Cape Town & Ottawa: African Minds, International Development Research Centre & Research on Open Educational Resources. DOI: 10.5281/zenodo.1005330

Kanwar, A., Mishra, S. (2015). The impact of OER and MOOCs on ODL: an international perspective. Keynote Address at the 2015 International Distance Education Development Forum, 2015. Laurillard, D. (2014). What is the problem for which MOOCs are the solution? | IOE LONDON BLOG.

Patel D., Parsley, S., Leck, A. (2018). Open education in eye health: transforming access to learning. Comm Eye Health Vol. 30 No. 100 2018 pp 96-98

Pascolini, D., & Mariotti, S. P. (2012). Global estimates of visual impairment: 2010. British Journal of Ophthalmology, 96(5), 614–618.

Ramasamy D, Gilbert, S. (2017). How to 'do' CPD with your team (from the organisation's perspective). Comm Eye Health Vol. 30 No. 97 2017 pp 9-10.

Resnikoff, S., Felch, W., Gauthier, T.-M., & Spivey, B. (2012). The number of ophthalmologists in practice and training worldwide: a growing gap despite more than 200,000 practitioners. The British Journal of Ophthalmology, 96(6), 783–7.

Thakur, G. K. (2014). ICT and digital divide in Indian school system. International Journal of Interdisciplinary and Multidisciplinary Studies (IJIMS), 2(2), 34–38.

Trotter, H., & Cox, G. (2016). The OER Adoption Pyramid.

Wenger, E., Trayner, B., & De Laat, M. (2011). Promoting and Assessing Value Creation in Communities and Networks: a Conceptual Framework. Heerlen: Ruud de Moor Centrum, Open Universiteit.

World Bank. (2016). World Development Report 2016: Digital Dividends. Washington, DC.

World Health Organization. (2013). Universal eye health: a global action plan 2014–2019